wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	Jor	ge Alejandro Molina-Cordova	Case Num	ber:	08-6218M		
and wa	as repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3 ented by counsel. I conclude by a pre nt pending trial in this case.					
			FINDINGS OF FACT	ı			
I find b	y a prep	onderance of the evidence that:					
	\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the cl	harged offense, was in t	ne Ur	nited States illegally	<i>'</i> .	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custo Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deport or otherwise removed.						
The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in					or in the District of A	rizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculat to assure his/her future appearance.					
☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.							
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United St substantial family ties to Mexico.						
There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.							
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeir					fleeing from law enf	orcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximur	n of		years imprisonment	i.	
at the t	The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the mane hearing in this matter, except as r	terial findings of the Pret noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LA		ervices Agency whi	ch were reviewed by the Cour	
	1. 2.	. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.					
appeal of the l	ctions fa I. The de Jnited St	fendant is committed to the custody cility separate, to the extent practical fendant shall be afforded a reasonal tates or on request of an attorney for e United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General ble, from persons awaitin ble opportunity for private the Government, the per cose of an appearance in	or his g or s cons son i	s/her designated rep serving sentences of sultation with defens n charge of the corr nection with a court	r being held in custody pending se counsel. On order of a cour rections facility shall deliver the	
	IT IS O	RDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsiderati	LS AND THÌRD PARTY nis detention order be file on to Pretrial Services at	d wit	h the District Court,	it is counsel's responsibility to e hearing set before the Distric	
Service investig	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretriaces sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview an igate the potential third party custodian.						
	DATE	ED this 6 th day of August, 20	08.				
			\$				
		•					

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge